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Abstract. Manganese deficiency is a common and potentially fatal disorder of palms growing in highly leached and calcareous soils. Soil applications of MnSO₄ may not always be effective in treating this disorder due to rapid oxidation of Mn to less available forms. Trunk injection with MnSO₄ (2.0 g Mn) was found to be more effective in increasing foliar Mn concentrations than soil application (192 g Mn) or petiole injections with 0.1 g Mn in a single hole, or 0.5 g Mn divided among four holes. In contrast to trunk injection, neither petiole injections nor soil application of MnSO₄ increased foliar Mn concentrations above that of the untreated control palms. Trunk injections, while effective, result in permanent wounds that could potentially serve as entry sites for the trunk pathogen Thielaviopsis paradoxa, especially on young palms with minimal trunks.
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MATERIALS AND METHODS

A 29-year-old planting of Fiji Dwarf coconut palms with trunk heights of 3–4.5 m was used for this study. The plot was located in Davie, FL, U.S. (latitude 26°4’56”N, longitude 80°14’23”W) and had a Margate Fine Sand soil with a pH of 6.1 and cation exchange capacity of 3.1 meq/100g. The ground cover was a very sparse cover of weeds due to shading by the palms and thus competition by groundcovers for Mn was not likely to be a significant factor. None of the palms exhibited symptoms of Mn deficiency at any time during the experiment. Ten replicate trees spaced 5 m apart were randomly assigned to each of the following treatments: (1) Control—no Mn treatment; (2) 600 g of MnSO₄ (=192 g Mn) (TecMangam®, Industrias Sulfamex, Tampico, Mexico) broadcast to the soil surface under the canopy; (3) 10 mL of a 5% Mn solution from MnSO₄ (=0.5 g Mn) (Arborjet, Inc., Woburn, MA, U.S.) injected into the petiole bases of four older leaves by drill holes 7 mm in diameter by 50 mm deep, tapping in #3 Arborplugs® (Arborjet, Inc.), and injecting 2.5 mL of the solution per leaf using a Quick-jet® microinjector (Arborjet, Inc.); (4) 2 mL of a 5% Mn solution from MnSO₄ (=0.1g Mn) injected into a single older petiole as in treatment 3; and (5) 40 mL of a 5% Mn solution from MnSO₄ (=2.0 g Mn) were injected into the trunk about 60 cm above the ground. The single 7 mm-diameter hole extended into the trunk are created. On the other hand, petiole injection may require multiple injection sites in order to introduce sufficient volumes of the solution, and in tall specimens, accessing the palm leaves for treatment requires special equipment. The purpose of this study was to compare the relative effectiveness of manganese sulfate in increasing foliar Mn concentrations when applied to the soil or injected into the trunk or petioles of palms.
the trunk about one-third of its diameter and was injected using the same techniques as treatments 3 and 4. Arborplugs are polypropylene inserts with a membrane sealing the small-diameter injection port in the center. They are tapped into holes in the trunk such that the membrane is within the central cylinder of the palm trunk containing the vascular bundles. The Quick-jet microinjector is a repeating syringe with a needle that penetrates the Arborplug membrane and injects a measured volume of solution into the trunk or petiole. All treatments were applied April 7–8, 2009. Prior to treatment, leaf samples consisting of two central leaflets from the youngest fully-expanded leaf (leaf #1) on each tree were harvested for baseline Mn determinations. Similar samples were collected from the current youngest fully-expanded leaves at 1, 3, and 6 months following treatment. Leaf samples were dried at 60°C, ground, and digested using a nitric acid-hydrogen peroxide method (United States EPA 1996). Digested samples were analyzed for Mn concentrations using atomic absorption spectrometry. In order to eliminate intra-plant variation in Mn content, initial Mn concentration data from each tree were subtracted from the 1, 3, and 6-month leaf Mn concentrations. Analysis of variance, with mean separation by the Waller-Duncan k-ratio method (SAS Inst., Cary, NC, U.S.), was used to compare changes in Mn concentrations among treatments.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Injection of the trunks of coconut palms was relatively simple and leakage was minimal if the Arborplug was properly seated. Injection into petioles was more difficult and the limited capacity of the petiole to absorb injected solutions limited the volumes that could be injected to about 2 mL per hole. In two of the trunk-injected palms, more than 25 mL of solution were unable to be injected into a single hole. Analysis of baseline Mn concentrations showed that all palms were above the 40 ppm threshold for Mn sufficiency (Elliott et al. 2004). However, there was a wide range in concentrations among replicate trees within each treatment. For that reason, the concentrations at 1, 3, and 6 months were subtracted from the initial concentrations to show changes in concentrations over time in response to the various treatments. Treatment 5 (trunk injection) went from the lowest concentration at month 0 to the highest at month 1, and showed an increase in Mn of about 102 ppm (Table 1). Other treatments showed little or no increase in Mn concentrations. At month 3, trunk-injected palms (treatment 5) still had Mn concentrations 113 ppm higher than before treatment while the other four treatments showed significant drops in Mn concentrations. The drop in Mn concentrations over time was most likely due to a dilution effect caused by an increased growth rate during the warm rainy summer months. Broschat (1991) showed a similar drop in Mn concentrations in leaves of untreated pygmy date palms (Phoenix roebelenii) sampled in July, compared to cooler and drier months of February through May at a nearby site. Thus, it was important that changes in Mn concentrations were presented, as opposed to the actual concentrations.

These data suggest petiole injection of older leaves is not an effective method of increasing Mn in the newest leaf (leaf #1) of a palm, the site where Mn deficiency develops and the leaf that was sampled in this study. Although injections of the antibiotic OTC into coconut palm petioles provided sufficient chemical in all leaves of the canopy to suppress the lethal yellowing phytoplasma, the concentrations of OTC in noninjected leaves averaged only about one-tenth of that found in injected leaves (McCoy 1977). OTC is known to be phloem-mobile, while Mn is considered to be only partially so (Bukovac and Wittwer 1957). Broschat (1997) found that Mn was mobilized from older leaves to leaf #2 within the canopies of coconut palms, but not to leaf #1. Thus, even if petiole injection had increased Mn concentrations in leaf 2, this would have no effect on the incidence or severity of Mn deficiency, which develops in the expanding leaf 0 and the newly expanded leaf 1.

In this experiment, soil applications provided a minimal increase in Mn concentrations at 1 month, but not thereafter, suggesting that applied Mn was quickly oxidized by Mn-oxidizing bacteria (Sparrow and Uren 1987). Since the pH of this soil was not particularly high (6.1), precipitation of Mn by high soil pH (Lindsay 1972) was probably less of a factor in this study. Soil applications of MnSO₄ were highly effective in increasing Mn concentrations in the foliage of pygmy date palms growing in a similar soil (Broschat 1991).

Table 1. Actual and changes in Mn concentrations (from month 0) in leaf 1 of coconut palms that were treated with manganese sulfate via soil application, petiole injection, or trunk injection. Means with different letters following are statistically different at the P = 0.05 level based on the Waller-Duncan k-ratio method. (n = 10)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Actual Mn concentration (ppm)</th>
<th>Change in Mn concentration (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Month 0</td>
<td>Month 1</td>
<td>Month 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Control (no Mn)</td>
<td>118.5</td>
<td>116.4 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Soil application</td>
<td>128.6</td>
<td>145.7 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Petiole injections (4)</td>
<td>143.1</td>
<td>145.9 ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Petiole injection (1)</td>
<td>112.6</td>
<td>110.5 b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Trunk injection</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>191.8 a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-value</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.0254</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CONCLUSIONS
Petiole injection of Mn is an ineffective means of increasing Mn in leaf #1 and does not justify the extra effort required to treat palms. On the other hand, in this experiment, trunk injection was more effective than soil application of MnSO₄, used much less material, and lasted 6 months. However, because this method results in permanent trunk wounds that could provide entry sites for the lethal trunk pathogen Thielaviopsis paradoxa, this method should not be used on relatively young specimens where foliar sprays are possible and where drilling will necessarily be done into trunk tissue that has not had sufficient time to thoroughly lignify (Tomlinson 1990). Thielaviopsis paradoxa requires a wound for infection
and nonlignified tissue is more susceptible than lignified tissue (Elliott et al. 2004). Even on older palms, trunk injection of Mn should not be used unless the palm is suffering from severe Mn deficiency that soil applications have been ineffective in correcting.
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