DIEBACK AND DECLINES OF URBAN TREES

by David R. Houston

Abstract. Dieback-decline diseases occur when trees, stressed and altered by abiotic or biotic agents, are attacked by organisms of secondary action. The primary stress factors in forests are insect defoliation and extremes of moisture and temperature. In urban situations, drought is probably the most important stress factor. Most organisms of secondary action are native opportunists which often are more successful in urban than in forest situations — perhaps because urban trees are more frequently subjected to prolonged or intense stress. Attempts to control these diseases usually should be focused on preventing or reducing stress effects rather than on direct actions against the secondary organisms.

Contrary to popular opinion, trees are mortal, and, at some point in time, will die. And it is highly probable that as trees die they will die back and decline. If dieback followed by decline and death is a natural sequence of events, why do we consider the diebacks and declines as a specific group of diseases? This question is addressed in this paper. The answers are important because dieback and decline diseases are especially significant in urban environments.

If moisture, nutrients, and light are adequate, and external disturbances and stresses from abiotic or biotic agents are not severe, trees will, within their genetic limitations, grow larger. Eventually, however, every tree reaches the point when increasing physiological demands for essential materials can barely be satisfied. At this point, growth is very slow, and even relatively minor stress factors may initiate dieback. Trees that can do so may die back to some degree as they adjust to this increasingly adverse environment — giving up some tissues in order to support the remainder. Trees not able to do this are apt to be short-lived. When stresses are prolonged or intense, the dieback mechanism may not be sufficient to maintain this balance and tissues altered by the stress may fall prey to pathogenic organisms ordinarily of little importance. Under conditions of prolonged or intense stress, even trees not yet physiologically mature may be affected. When this occurs, the condition is known as dieback-decline disease.

Diebacks and Declines — A definition of cause and effect

Most dieback-decline diseases of forest trees share a common type of cause-effect relationship that serves to differentiate them from other types of disease.

Cause. Diebacks-declines occur when trees are stressed by adverse abiotic or biotic factors that render them susceptible to attacks, often lethal, by organisms of secondary action. Stress adversely alters tree condition. Sometimes stress triggers processes that lead to dieback directly; sometimes stress also produces anatomical or physiological changes that permit organisms of secondary action to attack and kill tissues. These secondary organisms include a wide variety of fungi and insects that can kill fine roots, buds and fine twigs, or bark and cambium of branches, stems and roots. Invasion by any of a number of these organisms can deliver the coup de grace in a given host/stress episode.

Effects. The syndrome shared, in part or in whole, by many of these diseases includes the death of buds and the dieback of twigs that begins...
at the margin of the crown and progresses basipetally — inward and downward. Leaves of declining trees are often small, sparse, and off-color. Frequently, foliage in successive years is borne on sprouts and may appear clumped or tufted. Sometimes leaves show fall colors and drop prematurely, and sometimes terminal and radial growth is reduced even before external symptoms appear.

This common cause-effect relationship can be expressed as a series of stages:

1. Healthy trees + stress => Altered trees (dieback and decline begin)
2. Altered trees + more stress => Trees altered further (dieback and decline continues)
3. Severely altered trees + organisms of secondary action => Trees invaded and (perhaps) killed.

Understanding these general stages helps in understanding how and why dieback-decline diseases occur and how to approach their control. It is also useful to compare forest and urban situations with respect to the conditions that lead to the development of dieback-declines.

Concepts. (1) Dieback often results from the effects of stress alone, and when stress abates, the dieback process often ceases and trees recover. (2) The decline phase, wherein vitality lessens and trees succumb, is usually the consequence of organisms attacking the stress-altered tissues. Recovery from this phase, which is less likely, depends on such factors as tree vigor, the location and severity of tissue invasion, and the relative aggressiveness of the organisms.

Comparisons — Natural forests vs. urban situations

1. When is dieback, decline, and death not dieback-decline disease? In natural forests, shaded and crowded trees often die. This attrition, the result of competition for light, moisture, and nutrients, is accepted, indeed even expected, as a natural consequence of forest development. Examination of such trees frequently reveals that their demise was hastened by attacks of the same secondary-action organisms that attack and kill vigorous trees altered by stress. In the forest, therefore, dieback-decline diseases only occur when trees are affected by extraordinary stress.

In many urban situations, stresses of extraordinary duration or intensity occur more than occasionally. Indeed, relatively more trees in urban areas than in forests die from the direct effects of stress. (Perhaps, if no organisms of secondary nature are involved, these problems should not be called dieback-decline diseases?) Usually, however, attacks by secondary organisms are even more important in the dieback of urban trees than in forests. Because growing conditions are usually less favorable and stresses more frequent and intense in urban situations, trees there often reach their physiological limits sooner than in the forest. Although the stress/decline relationships of suppressed understory forest trees are somewhat analogous to those of city street trees, the early demise of the latter is neither expected nor accepted.

2. Important stresses. In the forest, the most significant stress factors that predispose trees to dieback-decline are insect defoliation, extremes of moisture (drought, winter desiccation, flooding), heat (freezing, frosts, sunscald), and attacks by sucking insects. Although the direct and
acute effects of air pollutants such as ozone and oxides of sulfur and nitrogen are recognized, their long-term and indirect effects and those of other pollutants, including acid deposition and heavy metals, as predisposing factors are not yet known.

These stress factors are also important in urban situations. Drought, exacerbated by the effects of heat, is probably the most important. Urban trees are often grown where spaces for their roots are restricted, or where they are subjected to sudden changes in water tables and drainage patterns, and to root and stem injuries. And, in contrast to forest trees, they are often subject to the effects of herbicides and salt. Trees in urban environments must often compete with dense grass sod covers for moisture, nutrients, and oxygen. Urban trees also may be uniquely harmed by too much light. Daily and seasonal photoperiods lengthened by artificial lighting may prevent normal hardening-off and result in frost or winter injury and attack by twig-blighting organisms.

3. The organisms of secondary action. In natural forest situations, the secondary-action pathogens are usually opportunistic saproges — saprophytic fungi with the ability to attack weakened plants. Most of these organisms are common inhabitants of natural forests, and harmless to healthy, non-stressed trees. Many even can be considered beneficial as decomposers or destroyers of weak, defective plants. There are some exceptions to this generalization. Occasionally stress may render tissues of one tree species exceptionally susceptible to organisms that are primary pathogens on other hosts.

In the urban environment, many organisms assume much more importance than in natural forests — a reflection, perhaps, of the greater stress encountered by urban trees and perhaps, also, of the greater number of exotic (and therefore less resistant) plants grown there.

4. Diagnosis and control of diebacks and declines. Diagnosis and control of diebacks-declines are governed by their peculiar cause-effect relationships. Regardless of whether they occur in the forest or downtown, their diagnosis...
entails the following steps: a) recognizing symptoms, b) associating in time and place the stress events or factors that triggered the problem and c) identifying the secondary-action organisms.

a. Progressive dieback and deterioration of crowns; thin, sparse "tufted" foliage produced on sprouts; early fall coloration; and reduced height and radial growth rates are all tree responses to adverse environmental factors.

b. Determining which event(s) triggered the problem is often difficult, perhaps more so in remote forest areas than in closely observed urban locations. Records of such disturbances as weather extremes, insect defoliation, air pollution episodes, and construction events are extremely valuable. Determining when disturbances occurred — perhaps by growth ring patterns or age of sprout tissues, may help to pinpoint what disturbance occurred. It is also clear that knowing when and where significant disturbances occur today will help predict, or at least explain, when and where diebacks-declines may occur tomorrow.

c. The presence and state of development of secondary organisms such as root fungi, bark borers, and twig canker fungi also help confirm the diagnosis and date the events that triggered these attacks.

Control of diebacks-declines usually centers first on measures to prevent or reduce their predisposing stress factors. When the stress is biotic, a direct approach, such as spraying to prevent insect defoliation or scale infestation, may be feasible in both forest and urban situations. Preventing abiotic stresses is more difficult. For urban situations, watering, fertilizing, mulching, pruning, applying antidesiccants, and planting trees away from road edges can help alleviate the effects of drought. Development or selection of trees resistant to diebacks-declines should probably focus on resistance to the stress agents rather than to the often numerous and variable agents of secondary action. However, when secondary organisms are limited in numbers (kinds) and are specific, it may be feasible to control them either directly or indirectly.

Table 1 lists a number of selected forest and urban dieback-decline diseases. These are chosen to represent a variety of hosts, predisposing stresses, organisms of secondary action, and approaches to control.
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Table 1. A selected representative list of dieback-decline diseases chosen to represent a variety of hosts, predisposing stresses, organisms of secondary action and control.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOSTS AND DISEASE</th>
<th>TRIGGERING STRESS</th>
<th>ORGANISMS OF SECONDARY ACTION</th>
<th>EFFECTS (SYMPTOMS AND SIGNS)</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ash</td>
<td>Drought periods</td>
<td>Bark canker fungi:</td>
<td>a) Reduced terminal and radial growth</td>
<td>a) Forest: little can be done, salvage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ash dieback, decline (general)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cytophoma pruinosa</td>
<td>b) Death of terminal buds and branches</td>
<td>b) Urban: water when feasible, possibly reduce water loss by mulching</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraxinus americana F. pennsylvanica</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusisoccum sp.</td>
<td>c) Thin, “tufted” crowns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dieback (general, near coastal salt marshes with Spartina grass hosts Fraxinus</td>
<td>Defoliation by rust fungus.</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>d) Sometimes, early fall coloration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>spp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>e) Death of trees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f) Reddish-brown to orange-yellow cankers on branches and stems</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>Attack by the beech scale,</td>
<td>Bark canker fungi:</td>
<td>Dieback occurs the season following severe defoliation/defoliation</td>
<td>Most trees will recover unless defoliation is repeated or coincides with drought.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech bark disease (general in east through NY and mid-PA; northeastern WV.)</td>
<td>Cryptococcus fagisuga</td>
<td>Cytophoma pruinosa</td>
<td>a) Death of terminal buds and branches</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fagus grandifolia F. sylvatica</td>
<td></td>
<td>Fusisoccum sp.</td>
<td>b) Thin, “tufted” crowns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>c) Death of some trees</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>a) Thinning chlorotic crowns</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Presence on bark, especially in roughened spots, of white “wool-like” wax of the beech</td>
<td>a) Forest: salvage trees when scale populations become high and Nectria is abundant. Trees free from scale (resistant) should be favored</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>scale</td>
<td>b) Urban: treat individual trees with dormant sprays or chemical fungicides registered for use against the beech scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dogwood</td>
<td>Suspected: series of unusually wet springs, followed by summer dry periods</td>
<td>Leaf and twig fungus (<em>Discula sp.</em> <em>Gnomonia</em> sp.) of anthracose type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple</td>
<td>Defoliation by a number of insects including:</td>
<td>The shoestring root rot fungus, <em>Armillaria mellea</em>, and twig fungus, <em>Stagonosporum</em> sp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) saddled prominent</td>
<td>a) Death of buds, terminal twigs and branches the year after severe defoliation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple</td>
<td>b) forest tent caterpillar</td>
<td>b) Thin “tuffed” crowns</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decline</td>
<td>c) maple webworm</td>
<td>c) New foliage on sprouts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(general;</td>
<td>d) green striped</td>
<td>d) Continued decline and death of some trees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>forest)</td>
<td></td>
<td>e) White fungus “fans” often present beneath bark of roots and bases of dead and dying trees</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maple</td>
<td>“Roadside” maple decline (general)</td>
<td>Many twig, root and stem decay fungi</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td>Deicing salts, and/or construction damage to roots</td>
<td>a) Crown dieback, especially on road side of tree</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saccharum</td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Leaves show fall colors early and drop</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“Urban”</td>
<td>Suspect stress of planting trees too deeply in heavy soils, or settling of trees after planting</td>
<td>c) Leaves may also show inter-venal necrosis (scorch)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>maple</td>
<td></td>
<td>d) Dieback progresses over years, trees eventually die</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decline</td>
<td></td>
<td>a) Avoid use of deicing salt when feasible</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Wisconsin)</td>
<td></td>
<td>b) Plant replacement trees where brine will not run over roots</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>saccharum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Not yet known

a) Forest: prevent defoliation, salvage if significant portion of crown is killed
b) Urban: prevent defoliation

a) Improve soil drainage
b) Insure planting is shallow
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HOSTS AND DISEASE</th>
<th>TRIGGERING STRESS</th>
<th>ORGANISMS OF SECONDARY ACTION</th>
<th>EFFECTS (SYMPTOMS AND SIGNS)</th>
<th>CONTROL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>Oak decline (general) Quercus spp.</td>
<td>Defoliation by any of several insects including: a) gypsy moth b) leaf rollers and tiers c) canker worms d) webworms, etc., and sometimes exacerbated by periods of water shortage</td>
<td>The shoestring root fungus, <em>A. mellea</em>; and the twolined chestnut borer, <em>Agrilus bilineatus</em></td>
<td>a) Forest: prevent defoliation: salvage b) Urban: as above; supply water during defoliation if feasible. Possibly spray tree trunks to prevent attack by borers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>Oak decline (midwest; urban) Q. rubra Q. alba Q. macrocarpa</td>
<td>Adverse soil changes, including alkalization, caused by various urban practices and disturbance</td>
<td>The shoestring fungus, <em>A. mellea</em>, and the borer, <em>A. bilineatus</em></td>
<td>a) Deterioration of fine feeder root system b) Dieback and decline of upper crowns progressing sometimes until the tree dies c) Signs of <em>A. mellea</em> and <em>A. bilineatus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>Oak decline (midwest; general) Q. rubra Q. alba</td>
<td>Periods of unusual spring-time wetness in areas where soil overlies dense clays</td>
<td>The shoestring fungus, <em>A. mellea</em>, and the borer, <em>A. bilineatus</em></td>
<td>a) Rapid dieback and decline of mature and overmature trees b) Signs of <em>A. mellea</em> and <em>A. bilineatus</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pin oak canker dieback³ (mid-Atlantic states; urban) Q. palustris</td>
<td>Pruning wounds made during drought</td>
<td>Bark canker fungus: <em>Endothia gyrosa</em></td>
<td>a) Dieback of lower branches, especially those from which smaller ones have been pruned b) Death of some trees c) Orange-red to brown-black fructing pustules on dead bark</td>
<td>None known</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Ash trees are hosts also to several viruses and a mycoplasma-like organism (MLO). Evidence is mounting that the MLO can cause growth loss and mortality — and effects may be especially serious in times of drought. This disease is now known as ash yellows, or ash yellow decline.

2. Both Na and Cl ions are cumulatively toxic to sugar maple. Heavy, repeated salt contamination can kill trees even in the absence of secondary organisms.

3. *Endothia gyrosa* also causes cankers and dieback associated with pruning wounds in American and Formosan sweetgum and in American beech. In this respect it is similar to the fungus *Nectria cinnabarina*, a pruning wound pathogen of many urban tree species that is especially serious during severe droughts.