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Abstract. Hemlock woolly adelgid (Adelges tsugae) is a serious pest of hemlocks (Tsuga spp.) in the eastern United States. A variety of insecticides are capable of controlling hemlock woolly adelgid. The use of a systemic insecticide, imidacloprid, has gained widespread acceptance and use in the plant care industry. While several studies demonstrate the efficacy of imidacloprid in reducing adelgid populations, none have examined how hemlocks recover following imidacloprid therapy. Using specimen Tsuga canadensis trees in a residential landscape, we found that hemlocks recovered dramatically with new growth once the pressure of the adelgids was reduced following an application of imidacloprid. Most important, the response of trees to imidacloprid therapy differed in relation to their condition at the onset of the experiment. Trees with the healthiest, most foliated canopy improved the least following the reduction in adelgid populations. Trees with little new growth but no dieback recovered the quickest and most densely. Trees in the poorest condition at the onset recovered impressively but more slowly. Trees left untreated remained sparsely foliated, with dieback. These results confirm the value of imidacloprid therapy in improving the quality of hemlocks under attack by the hemlock woolly adelgid in urban forests.
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Early attempts to control the hemlock woolly adelgid revealed good efficacy of foliar insecticides including insecticidal soap and oil as well as numerous petrochemical insecticides (McClure 1987, 1988). Thorough coverage was the key to effective control with foliar contact insecticides. More recently, McClure (1992) provided convincing evidence that several systemic insecticides including oxodemetonmethyl, bidrin, and acephate provided excellent levels of control when injected or implanted through the bark of the tree. Steward and Horner (1994) demonstrated that imidacloprid applied as a soil injection provided excellent control of hemlock woolly adelgid on established eastern hemlocks in a formal public garden. Steward and Horner (1994), Marion and Foster (2000), and McClure et al. (2001) noted that soil applications had advantages over bark injections or implants in that they do not wound the bark of the tree. McClure et al. (2001) also noted that a healthy sap flow was vital to transporting and distributing systemic insecticides from the soil throughout the canopy of the tree. Severe damage by the adelgid reduce the ability of hemlocks to transport and distribute imidacloprid.

Our objectives were twofold. First, we wanted to document the recuperative response of hemlocks infested by adelgids following the application of imidacloprid through the soil. Second, we wanted to determine if the initial condition of the hemlock affected its ability to respond to treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Hemlocks used in this study were growing in a residential landscape in Frederick County, Maryland, U.S. Trees ranged in size from 13 to 38 cm diameter at breast height (dbh, 1.4 m) at the onset of the study. All had been infested with hemlock woolly adelgid for several years. Prior to the application of imidacloprid on March 31, 1999, the condition of all trees was evaluated by examining their canopies for new growth, needle loss, and dieback. Trees were rated and placed into one of three categories. Healthy trees were those that had new growth and little needle loss. Trees left untreated remained sparsely foliated, with dieback. These results confirm the value of imidacloprid therapy in improving the quality of hemlocks under attack by the hemlock woolly adelgid in urban forests.
little or no dieback or needle loss. There were seven in this category. The third category was designated trees with
dieback. They had no new growth and scattered-to-
widespread needle loss with attendant dieback. This
category contained seven trees.

At the time trees were categorized, each tree was also
rated according to the number of terminals bearing new
growth. This rating system was used to quantify changes in
tree health over the course of the study. New growth was
visually estimated by two experienced observers, a horticul-
turist (JRF) and an entomologist (REW). Trees with <10% of
terminals bearing new growth were rated as 1, trees with
10% to 25% of terminals bearing new growth were rated as
2, trees with 26% to 50% of terminals bearing new growth
were rated as 3, trees with 51% to 75% of terminals bearing
new growth were rated as 4, and trees with >75% of
terminals bearing new growth were rated as 5. All trees were
rated the day that imidacloprid applications were made and
again at 144, 434, and 816 days after treatment.

Four trees in the poor category were left untreated and
the adelgids uncontrolled. All remaining trees were treated
with imidacloprid on March 31, 1999. Imidacloprid was
applied as a soil drench of Merit® 75 WP according to the
high label rate (= 2 g product in 0.95 L solution per 2.5 cm
dbh) (Bayer 1998). Imidacloprid was mixed and applied in a
watering can using the soil drench method (Bayer 1998).
The soil at the site was moist and did not require supple-
mental irrigation at the time of application.

At the conclusion of the study, 816 days after treatment,
the overall appearance of each tree was rated. Trees were
rated numerically from best (10) to worst (0) as follows.
Trees of excellent appearance were rated 10 or 9. Trees in
good condition were rated 8 to 6. Trees with increasing
levels of dieback were rated 5 to 3. Trees half dead were
rated 2, mostly dead 1, and all dead 0. The dbh of each tree
was measured to the nearest cm at the beginning and at the
conclusion of the study.

In addition to tracking changes in the health and
appearance of the trees, we also tracked changes in the
abundance of hemlock woolly adelgid. Counts of adelgids
were restricted to the terminals of the new growth. A
“terminal” generally included the central leader and several
side tips growing off the leader. Prior to the application of
imidacloprid on March 31, 1999, the abundance of adelgids
on each tree was rated using the following criteria. Trees
with adelgids on 1 to 2 terminals were given a rating of 1,
trees with adelgids on 3 to 5 terminals received a 2, trees
with adelgids on more than 5 terminals but less than 25% of
the total received a 4, trees with adelgids on 25% to 50% of
terminals received a 5, trees with adelgids on 51% to 75% of
terminals received a 6, trees with adelgids on 76% to 90% of
terminals received a 7, trees with adelgids on 91% but less
than 100% of terminals received an 8, trees with adelgids
present on all terminals received a 9, and a rating of 10 was
given to one tree with extraordinarily high densities of
adelgids on every terminal.

The change in the abundance of hemlock woolly adelgids
on each tree was determined by subtracting the abundance
erating at the end of the study at day 816 from its abundance
rating at the beginning on day 0. We then compared the
change in rating across categories of trees as given below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Adelgid Abundance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>All terminals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&gt;75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>51% to 75%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>26% to 50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>10% to 25%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variances in these differences were heteroscedastic among
tree categories, and homogeneity of variance could not be
achieved through transformation of the data. Therefore, the
changes in ratings were compared among treatments with a
Kruskal-Wallis nonparametric analysis of variance (Zar 1999).
Differences among categories were resolved following the
Kruskal-Wallis analysis with a Nemenyi test (Zar 1999).

The change in the health of hemlocks indicated by the
production of new growth was evaluated by subtracting the
new growth rating of each tree at the beginning of the study
from the rating at the end of the study, 816 days after
treatment. Increases in the amount of new growth indicated
by a change in rating were compared with an analysis of
variance followed by a Bonferroni (Dunn) test to resolve
treatment means (Zar 1999). The change in the health of
hemlocks indicated by the caliper of the tree was evaluated
by subtracting the dbh at the beginning of the study from the
dbh at the end. Increases in the dbh were compared with an
analysis of variance followed by a Bonferroni (Dunn) test to
resolve treatment means (Zar 1999). The final appearances of
the trees at the completion of the study were evaluated by
comparing the appearance ratings among categories with an
analysis of variance followed by a Bonferroni (Dunn) test to
resolve treatment means (Zar 1999).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The abundance of adelgids on all treated hemlocks declined
significantly compared to untreated hemlocks (Chi-square = 12.99; df = 3; P < 0.005) (Figure 1). However, trees with the
highest levels of adelgids at the onset of the study, those in
the healthy category, experienced the greatest reduction in
adelgid abundance (Figures 1 and 2). These trees changed
from having adelgids on about 90% of their terminals to
being virtually free of adelgids (Figure 2). Trees in the poor
health category also experienced reductions in adelgids
(Figures 1 and 2). Adelgids infested between one quarter
and one half of the terminals at the onset of the study but
were found on only one or two terminals at the end (Figure
2). Even the most unhealthy trees, those in a state of dieback,
experienced significant reductions in adelgids following
imidacloprid therapy (Figures 1 and 2). These sickly trees
housed few adelgids at the onset of the study presumably
due to their advanced state of decline (Figure 2) (McClure
1991). However, by the end of the experiment even these
trees were virtually free of adelgids (Figure 2). These results
are encouraging because they indicate that trees with significant dieback and needle loss are still competent to absorb imidacloprid from the soil and transport it in lethal levels to the canopy. The efficacy of imidacloprid in controlling adelgid in this study supports the findings of Steward and Horner (1994).

Changes in the health of hemlocks in response to imidacloprid therapy were most dramatic for trees in poor health or in a state of dieback. Trees in these categories generated significantly more new growth than untreated trees or those in a healthy condition at the onset of the experiment ($F = 9.62; \text{df} = 3, 14; P < 0.001$). Poor-category trees had virtually no new growth at the onset of the study (Figure 3). The poor-category trees, those with no new growth but little needle loss or dieback, responded rapidly to the application of imidacloprid. Within the first 144 days after treatment new growth was present on 26% to 75% of the terminals. Hemlocks in the least healthy category, those with dieback and needle loss, recovered more slowly, but by day 434 exhibited new growth on 26% to 75% of terminals (Figure 3). Untreated trees exhibited little or no new growth throughout the course of the study (Figure 3). These results compliment those of McClure (1992), who demonstrated a significant increase in the biomass of hemlocks treated with systemic insecticides. Like McClure (1992), we attribute the recovery of hemlocks to the reduction in adelgid feeding pressure following the application of systemic insecticides. It is noteworthy that in McClure’s (1992) studies, the recovery of severely damaged trees was less than that of trees less damaged, but in our study, severely damaged trees recovered well—albeit the recovery period was longer.

We could not detect a significant difference in the increase in caliper of trees in the different health categories following the application of imidacloprid ($F = 2.82; \text{df} = 3, 14; P < 0.08$) (Figure 4). However, there was a clear trend for trees released from adelgid pressure to increase in caliper. Trees that had sustained the least damage generally experienced the largest gains (Figure 4).

The final rating of tree appearance on day 816 demonstrated that all trees treated with imidacloprid differed significantly from trees left untreated ($F = 5.35; \text{df} = 3, 14; P < 0.01$) (Figure 5). At the conclusion, all trees treated with imidacloprid were rated in good condition, while those untreated received poor ratings due to continued dieback and needle loss.

The importance of these findings is twofold. First, we have confirmed a high level of long-lasting control of hemlock woolly adelgid afforded by a single administration of the systemic insecticide imidacloprid. Second, we have demonstrated that after adelgid populations are suppressed, hemlocks will recover by producing new growth on most branches. Even trees that have experienced a cessation of new growth with attendant needle loss and dieback will experience dramatic recovery following imidacloprid therapy. In less than 3 years, the appearance of these trees will approximate those of hemlock less severely damaged.
Figure 3. Growth rating of eastern hemlock at day 0, and changes in the new growth ratings at 144 days, at 434 days, and at 816 days after imidacloprid application. Tree health categories are as in Figure 1. Bars represent means, and vertical lines represent standard errors.

Figure 4. Increase in the dbh of eastern hemlock 816 days after the application of imidacloprid. Tree health categories are as in Figure 1. Bars represent means, and vertical lines represent standard errors. Means that share a letter do not differ by a Bonferroni test (P = 0.05).

Figure 5. Appearance ratings of eastern hemlock 816 days after the application of imidacloprid. Tree health categories are as in Figure 1. Bars represent means, and vertical lines represent standard errors. Means that share a letter do not differ by a Bonferroni test (P = 0.05).
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Résumé. La cochenille floconneuse de la pruche, *Adelges tsugae*, est un insecte sérieux chez les pruches, *Tsuga* spp., dans l’Est des États-Unis. Une variété d’insecticides sont capables de contrôler la cochenille floconneuse de la pruche. L’utilisation d’un insecticide systémique, l’imidacloprid, est de plus en plus accepté et utilisé dans l’industrie de l’entretien des végétaux. Alors que plusieurs études démontrent l’efficacité de l’imidacloprid pour diminuer les populations de cochenilles, aucune n’a encore examiné comment les pruches se remettent de la thérapie à l’imidacloprid. Au moyen de spécimens de *Tsuga canadensis* en milieu résidentiel aménagé, nous avons découvert que les pruches s’en remettaient dramatiquement bien lors de la période de croissance, et ce une fois que la pression des cochenilles floconneuses était diminuée après l’application d’imidacloprid. Le plus important est que la réponse des arbres à la thérapie par l’imidacloprid différait selon la condition des arbres sur le site de l’attaque. Les arbres avec la masse foliaire la plus dense et la plus en santé étaient ceux qui avaient éprouvé le moins de perte de croissance après la diminution des populations de cochenilles floconneuses. Les arbres avec une croissance plus faible, mais sans qu’il y ait pour autant de mortalité aux extrémités des branches, étaient ceux qui s’en remettaient le plus rapidement et avec une augmentation de densité de cime la plus forte. Les arbres dont la condition était la plus pauvre sur le site de l’attaque s’en remettaient de manière impressionnante, mais plus lentement. Les arbres laissés sans traitement demeuraient peu denses en aiguilles et comportaient des extrémités de branches mortes. Ces résultats confirment la valeur de la thérapie à l’imidacloprid pour améliorer la qualité des pruches qui sont attaquées par la cochenille floconneuse de la pruche en milieu urbain.


Resumen. El aldégido del abeto americano, *Adelges tsugae*, es una fuerte plaga de los abetos, *Tsuga* spp., en el este de los Estados Unidos. Una variedad de insecticidas es capaz de controlar al insecto. El uso del insecticida sistémico imidacloprid ha ganado aceptación y uso en la industria del cuidado de las plantas. Mientras varios estudios demuestran la eficacia de imidacloprid en la reducción de las poblaciones del aldégido, ninguno ha examinado la manera como se recuperan los abetos después de la terapia con imidacloprid. Utilizando especímenes del árbol *Tsuga canadensis* en un área residencial, se encontró que los abetos se recobran de manera dramática con nuevo crecimiento, una vez que la presión de los aldégidos fue reducida con la aplicación de imidacloprid. Además, la respuesta de los árboles a la terapia de imidacloprid difirió con relación a su condición en el inicio del experimento. Los árboles más saludables, con mayor follaje mejoraron menos después de la reducción de las poblaciones del insecto. Los árboles con poco y nuevo crecimiento pero sin muerte regresiva se recobraron rápidamente y más densamente. Los árboles en la condición más pobre al principio se recobraron más lentamente. Los árboles sin tratar presentaron muerte regresiva. Estos resultados confirman el valor de la terapia de imidacloprid en el mejoramiento de la calidad de los abetos bajo el ataque del aldégido en bosques urbanos.