

3. Button, E.F., 1970. *Metabolic index as a diagnostic tool in tree health determination*. Highway Research Record 335:6-8.
4. Button, E.F., and D.E. Peaslee, 1967. *The effect of rock salt upon roadside sugar maples in Connecticut*. Highway Research Record 16:121-131.
5. Dirr, Michael A., 1976. *Selection of trees for tolerance to salt injury*. Journal of Arboriculture 11:209-216.
6. French, D.W., 1959. *Boulevard trees are damaged by salt applied to streets*. Minnesota Farm and Home Science 16(2):22, 23.
7. Holmes, F.W., 1958-1959. Massachusetts Agricultural Experiment Station Annual Report, Amherst, Mass. Bulletin 518, p35.
8. Hutchinson, F.E. 1967. *The relationship of road salt application to sodium and chloride ion levels in the soil bordering major highways*. Highway Research Record 193:1-7.
9. Hutchinson, F.E., 1974. Dispersal of sodium ions in soils. Materials and Research Technical Paper 70-10C, University of Maine, Orono.
10. Hutchinson, F.E. 1974. Supplemental report II for cooperative research project entitled Dispersal of Sodium Ions in Soils. University of Maine, Orono, p5.
11. Jacobs, Ken, 1976. Dispersal of roadway salts, technical paper 77-4 third interim report. Maine Dept. of Transportation, Materials and Research Division, p.11.
12. Kelley, W.P., 1951. Alkali soils, their formation, properties and reclamation. Reinhold, NY, pp. 132-133.
13. Kotheimer, John B., 1967. Physiological factors in the etiology and alleviation of salt-induced decline among roadside maples and pines. PhD dissertation, University of New Hampshire, Durham, p.98.
14. Kramer, Paul J. and Theodore T. Kozlowski, 1960. Physiology of trees. McGraw-Hill, NY.
15. Lacasse, N.L. and Avery E. Rich, 1964. *Maple decline in New Hampshire*. Phytopathology 54:1071-1075.
16. Levitt, J., 1972. Responses of plants to environmental stresses. Academic Press, N.Y. pp.489-543.
17. Monk, Ralph W. and Herman H. Wiebe, 1961. *Salt tolerance and protoplasmic salt hardness of various woody & herbaceous ornamental plants*. Plant Physiology 36: 478-482.
18. Plice, M.J., 1949. *Some effects of salt water on soil fertility*. Proceedings of the Soil Science Society of America 14:275-278.
19. Rich, Avery E., 1971. Effect of de-icing salt on woody plants. Forest Notes, spring, pp.3-4.
20. Rich, Avery E., 1973. *Some effects of de-icing chemicals on roadside trees*. Highway Research Record 425:14-16.
21. Salt Institute, 1974. Survey of salt, calcium chloride and abrasive use in the United States and Canada for 1973-1974. Alexandria, Virginia.
22. Scotter, D.R. and J. Loveday, 1966. *Physical changes in seedbed material resulting from the application of dissolved gypsum*. Australian Journal of Soil Research 4: 69-75.
23. Strong, Forest C., 1944. A study of calcium chloride injury to roadside trees. Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station Quarterly Bulletin. pp.209-225.
24. Thomas, Kindsey Kay, Jr., 1965. Notes on winter road salting (sodium chloride) and vegetation, Scientific Report No. 3, United States Department of the Interior, National Capital Region, National Park Service. 19pp.
25. Transportation Research Board, 1973. Minimizing de-icing chemical use. Synthesis of Highway Practice 24, National Research Council, Washington, DC. 58pp.
26. United States Salinity Laboratory Staff, 1954. Diagnosis and improvement of saline and alkali soils. Agricultural Handbook No. 60. USDA. pp48-50.
27. Walton, G.S., 1969. *Phytotoxicity of sodium and calcium chloride to Norway maples*. Phytopathology 59(2):1412-1415.
28. Westing, A.H., 1968. *Sugar maple decline: an evaluation*. Economic Botany 20:196-212.

*Rubens Tree Service  
White River Junction, Vermont*

---

## ABSTRACT

Scott, N.J. 1977. **The horticultural consultant in court.** Weeds, Trees and Turf 16(2): 32, 34, 36, 38.

How do we operate? Very simply — with little or no fanfare. Our report incorporates the statement that we examined the plants on the area as pointed out to as by so and so. Our reports are of good and professional appearance and sufficiently presentable under any circumstances. Always keep your field notes and all working calculations. We tell what courts or tribunals in which we have appeared. In court — answer only what is asked. Do not volunteer information. Don't get off on a tangent. Do not be lured out of your realm of knowledge and training. Always meet with the lawyer for your client and review some part of the case prior to going to court. Take your own pictures of subject under discussion to illustrate your report.