

mix has relatively large proportion of its pores in the medium size range.

It was found that the peat-sand mix and the bark-sand mix had about the same volume of total pores, equal to $\frac{2}{3}$ their total volume. However, the size distribution of the pores was quite different between the two mixes. In the peat-sand mix 38% of the pores were large (empty at container capacity), compared with 55% in bark-sand mix. Also, in the peat-sand mix 31% of the pores were medium (held readily available water), compared with only 13% in the bark-sand mix.

As a result of these pore-sizes, a 1-gallon container of the peat-sand mix held 430 ml of water readily available to plants, whereas a container of the bark-sand mix held 187 ml readily available water, less than one-half that of the peat-sand mix. In addition, the peat-sand mix held twice as much water as the bark-sand mix in the next range which acts as a "buffer" before the plants become severely stressed.

This information has very practical meaning. If a nurseryman should change his container media from peat-sand to bark-sand, he would need to apply irrigation more frequently to the bark-sand mix than may have been his custom with peat-sand mix to avoid wilting and obtain best growth.

The addition of peat to bark and coarse sand (bark-peat-sand 2:1:1) changed the pore-size distribution so that the amount of readily available water was intermediate between peat-sand and

bark-sand mixes. Apparently, the value of adding peat to the mix is to increase the medium size pores and thus the quantity of readily available water.

The substitution of sawdust for bark in the three-part mix did not significantly change the total pore space or the pore-size distribution. Apparently, sawdust and bark are equally useful as ingredients in container mixes and irrigation management for the two kinds of mixes would be similar.

The substitution of fine sand for coarse sand in the three-part mixes reduced the proportion of large pores and increased the proportion of medium and very small pores. However, the mixes with fine sand had certain disadvantages such as swelling and shrinking and surface growth of mold, which interfered with water penetration.

Ilex crenata and *Magnolia stellata* plants were grown successfully in these mixes, indicating that physical properties were favorable for good plant growth provided proper management is given. Extra nitrogen is required for mixes with bark and sawdust to compensate for that used by decomposing bacteria. Frequency of irrigation and fertilization must be adjusted based on the pore-size distribution of the mix.

*University of Massachusetts
Amherst, Massachusetts*

ABSTRACT

Schuder, D.L. 1976. **Galls on Shade Trees and Shrubs.** Purdue University Coop. Ext. Service Publ. E-56. 4 p.

Galls are abnormal growth on plants. They are caused by certain feeding organisms, including bacteria, fungi, nematodes, mites, and insects. These organisms stimulate plant cells in such a way that the cells grow into galls, which serve as protection for the pests. There are hundreds of kinds of galls, each characteristic of the organism producing it. This publication deals only with galls caused by mites and insects. In general, mite and insect-produced growths do not seriously affect the health or vigor of the host plant. They may disfigure twigs and foliage, but if the plant is unhealthy or dying, something else is usually causing the trouble. Included are some of the common galls found on trees and shrubs in Indiana, and suggestions on how to prevent or control them.