Literature Cited

- De Voto, D. F. 1982. The harmonious tree. In: Urban and suburban trees: pest problems, needs, prospects, and solutions. Proc. of a Conf. at Mich. St. Univ., E. Lansing, MI, pp. 7-9.
- Dwyer, J. F., G. L. Peterson, and A. J. Darragh. 1983. Estimating the value of urban forests using the travel cost method. J. Arboric. 9:182-185.
- Giedraitis, J. P., and J. J. Kielbaso. 1982. Municipal tree management, Urban Data Service Reports, Vol. 14, No. 1. pp. 14 (Washington, D.C. International City Management Association).
- Haserodt, H., and T. D. Sydnor. 1983. Growth habits of five cultivars of Pyrus calleryana. J. Arboric. 9:160-163.
- Herms, D. A. 1984. Biology, population dynamics, and host impact of selected insects inhabiting honeylocust, *Gledit-sia triacanthos* L., and London planetree, *Platanus* x acerifolia (Ait.) Willd. M.S. Thesis, The Ohio State University. 115 pp.
- Kielbaso, J., G. Haston, and D. Pawl. 1982. Municipal tree management in the U.S. 1980. J. Arboric. 8:253-257.

- Kielbaso, J. J., and M. K. Kennedy. 1983. Urban forestry and Entomology: A current appraisal. In: Urban Entomology: Interdisciplinary Perspectives, G. Frankie, and C. S. Koehler, eds. Praeger Press. pp. 423-440.
- Morales, D. J. 1980. The contribution of trees to residential property values. J. Arboric. 6:305-308.
- Nielsen, D. G. 1982. A research entomologist's perspective. In: Urban and suburban trees: pest problems, needs, prospects, and solutions. Proc. of a Conf. at Mich. St. Univ., E. Lansing, Ml. pp. 12-16.
- Parks, B. O., F. A. Fear, M. T. Lambur, and G. A. Simmons. 1982. Urban and suburban trees: pest problems, needs, prospects, and solutions. Proc. of a conference at Michigan State University, E. Lansing, Ml. 253 pp.
- Simmons, G. A., F. A. Fear, M. T. Lambur, and B. O. Parks. 1982. Conference background. lbid. pp. 1-6.

Department of Entomology OARDC Wooster, Ohio 44691

ABSTRACT

POWELL, C.C. 1984. Success in coordinating disease control depends on finding common elements. Am. Nurseryman 159(2): 75-77.

To coordinate programs for turf and ornamental disease control, we must first find the common denominators of the diseases that affect these two plant types. Few, if any, turf diseases, however, also affect trees and shrubs. Thus what are some common denominators? There are three parts of plant health management. First, there are cultivar, or plant selection, decisions that lead to good health. Next are considerations of stresses in the environment and how to best manage them as the plant grows. Finally, there are decisions regarding the kind of pesticides to use and how and when to apply them. With ornamentals, the plant material may be more diverse than turf, but the variations in the timing patterns are fewer. There are four patterns or scenarios concerning the application of fungicides to ornamentals: the bud break sprays or the very early spring sprays; the post-bloom sprays or the later spring, early summer group; the wet-weather sprays; and the sprays during cool weather, especially cool nights followed by warmer days. Nurserymen should try to fit information on ornamental diseases into a scheme to clarify their thinking and programming abilities.